

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231 SERIAL NUMBER **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR OUDERKIRK 01/27/98 09/013,819 EXAMINER MM11/0128 SHAFER, R KARI H BARTINGALE 3M OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PROPERTY COUNSEL P O BOX 33427 2872 ST PAUL MN 55133-3427 01/28/99 DATE MAILED: This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined _month(s), <u>30</u> A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _____ _ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474... Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. 1. Claims are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims_ have been cancelled. 4. Claims 5. Claims are objected to. 6. Claims 1-43 are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on ___ are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _______ has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ______, has been ___approved; __disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has Deen received D not been received ☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. ___ __ ; filed on _ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

EXAMINER'S ACTION

14. Other

Application/Control Number: 09/013,819

Art Unit: 2872

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 2-9, 13 and 14, drawn to an optical polarizer comprising a polymeric reflective polarizer including first and second polymeric materials, wherein at least one of the first and second polymeric materials being birefringent, and an absorbing polarizer with particular absorbing polarizer details, classified in class 359, subclass 487.
 - II. Claims 10-12 and 15-42, drawn to an optical polarizer comprising a polymeric reflective polarizer including first and second polymeric materials, wherein at least one of the first and second polymeric materials being birefringent, and an absorbing polarizer with particular reflective polarizer details, classified in class 359, subclass 487.
 - III. Claim 43, drawn to a display device comprising a light modulator, a reflective polarizer and a dichroic polarizer, classified in class 359, subclass 246.
- 2. Claim 1 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or

Application/Control Number: 09/013,819 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is ... withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions III and I, II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because of the omission of at least one of the first and second polymeric materials being birefringent. The subcombination has separate utility such as an optical device without a light modulator.

- 4. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as an optical device without having a dichroic dye. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or the search required for Group I would further require a search in class 359, subclass 491 which is not required for Group

Application/Control Number: 09/013,819

Art Unit: 2872

II and the search required for Group II would further require a search in class 359, subclass 500

which is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an 6.

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 7.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 8.

should be directed to R.D. Shafer whose telephone number is (703) 308-4813.

RDS

January 27, 1999

Page 4